UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/796,756	03/08/2004	Adrian P. Stephens	42P18412	4365
59796 INTEL CORPC	7590 03/03/200 DRATION	EXAMINER		
c/o CPA Global		LEE, JOHN J		
P.O. BOX 52050 MINNEAPOLIS, MN 55402			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2618	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			03/03/2009	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/796,756	STEPHENS ET AL.
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	JOHN J. LEE	2618
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap Period for Reply	ppears on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING ID. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statut Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION .136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tired will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status		
Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>26 I</u> This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑ This action is application is in condition for allowated closed in accordance with the practice under	is action is non-final. ance except for formal matters, pro	
Disposition of Claims		
4) Claim(s) <u>1-27</u> is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra 5) Claim(s) <u>1-7 and 16-27</u> is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) <u>8-15</u> is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/	awn from consideration.	
Application Papers		
9) The specification is objected to by the Examin 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ac Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	cepted or b) objected to by the edrawing(s) be held in abeyance. Section is required if the drawing(s) is ob	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreig a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority document application from the International Bureat * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	nts have been received. nts have been received in Applicat ority documents have been receive au (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	ion No ed in this National Stage
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D 5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:	ate

Application/Control Number: 10/796,756 Page 2

Art Unit: 2618

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Arguments

1. Applicant's arguments, see Appeal Brief, filed November 26, 2008, with respect to reconsideration have been fully considered and are persuasive. The finality of previous action has been withdrawn. Prosecution on the merits of this application is reopened.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 101

2. 35 U.S.C. 101 reads as follows:

Whoever invents or discovers any new and useful process, machine, manufacture, or composition of matter, or any new and useful improvement thereof, may obtain a patent therefor, subject to the conditions and requirements of this title.

3. The USPTO "Interim Guidelines for Examination of Patent Applications for Patent Subject Matter Eligibility" (Official Gazette notice of 22 November 2005), Section IV.C, reads as follows:

While abstract ideas, natural phenomena, and laws of nature are not eligible for patenting, methods and products employing abstract ideas, natural phenomena, and laws of nature to perform a real-world function may well be. In evaluating whether a claim meets the requirements of section 101, the claim must be considered as a whole to determine whether it is for a particular application of an abstract idea, natural phenomenon, or law of nature, rather than for the abstract idea, natural phenomenon, or law of nature itself.

For claims including such excluded subject matter to be eligible, the claim must be for a practical application of the abstract idea, law of nature, or natural phenomenon. Diehr, 450 U.S. at 187,209

USPQ at 8 ("application of a law of nature or mathematical formula to a known structure or process may well be deserving of patent protection."); Benson, 409 U.S. at 71,175 USPQ at 676 (rejecting formula claim because it "has no substantial practical application").

To satisfy secUon 101 requirements, the claim must be for a practical application of the Sec. 101 judicial exception, which can be identified in various ways:

The claimed invention "transforms" an article or physical object to a different state or thing.

The claimed invention otherwise produces a useful, concrete and tangible result, based on the factors discussed below.

4. Claims 8 – 15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 because the claimed invention is directed to non-statutory subject matter.

Regarding claims 8 - 15: the claimed limitation "a machine readable medium that

Application/Control Number: 10/796,756 Page 3

Art Unit: 2618

provides instructions...perform operations" is not patentable since pages 6, paragraphs 11 in the specification states "computer-readable medium may include electrical, optical, acoustical or other form of propagated signals (e.g., carrier wave, infrared signals, digital signals, the interfaces that transmit and/or receive those signals, etc) and other".

5. Application with a useful, concrete, and tangible result in the technological arts.

In order to for the claimed process to produce a "useful, concrete, and tangible" result, recitation of one or more of the following elements is suggested:

- The manipulation of data that represents a physical object or activity transformed from outside the computer (MPEP 2106 IVB2(b)(i)).
- A recitation of a physical transformations outside the computer, for example in the form of pre or post computer processing activity (MPEP 2106 IVg2(b)(i)).
- A direct recitation of a practical application in the technological arts (MPEP 2106 IVg2(b)(ii)).

Applicant is also advised to provide a written explanation of how and why the claimed invention (either as currently recited or as amended) produces a useful, concrete and tangible result.

Page 4

6. Claims 1-7 and 16-27 are allowed.

> Claims 1-7 and 16-27 are allowable over the prior art of record because a search does not detect the combined claimed elements as set forth in the claims 1-7 and 16-27.

As recited in independent claims 1, 16, and 22, none of the prior art of record teaches or fairly suggests that a mobile station performing transmitting data at a first transmit power level, determining a first value for a network traffic parameter at the first transmit power level, and determining a second power level different than the first power level, transmitting data at the second transmit power level, and determining a second value for the network traffic parameter at the second transmit power level, wherein the network traffic parameter is based on a volume of communications observed by the mobile station, and together with combination of other element as set forth in the claims 1-7 and 16-27. Therefore, claims 1-7 and 16-27 are allowable over the prior art of records.

Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Perreault et al. (US 5,805,994) discloses Method for Transmit Power Control in a Communication System.

Art Unit: 2618

Shoemake (US 7,257,094) discloses Jointly Controlling Transmission Rate and Power in a Communication System.

Salonaho et al. (US 2002/0082037) discloses Method for Controlling Transmission Power.

Information regarding...Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system... at 866-217-9197 (toll-free)."

Any response to this action should be mailed to:

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks Washington, D.C. 20231 Or P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria VA 22313

or faxed (571) 273-8300, (for formal communications intended for entry)

Or: (703) 308-6606 (for informal or draft communications, please label

"PROPOSED" or "DRAFT").

Hand-delivered responses should be brought to USPTO Headquarters, Alexandria, VA.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to **John J. Lee** whose telephone number is **(571)** 272-7880. He can normally be reached Monday-Thursday and alternate Fridays from 8:30am-5:00 pm. If attempts to reach the examiner are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, **Nay Maung**, can be reached on **(571)** 272-7882. Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is **(703)** 305-4700.

Application/Control Number: 10/796,756 Page 6

Art Unit: 2618

J.L February 27, 2009

John J Lee

/JOHN J LEE/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 2618